

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/995,971	WILLIAMS, L. LLOYD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Olisa Anwah	2645
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application	ı .	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-40</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	-	·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arriirier.	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	i priority under 33 0.3.C.	9 119(a)-(u) 01 (1).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has b	peen received.
Attachment(s)	-	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kasiviswanathan, U.S. Patent No. 6,215,857 (hereinafter Kasiviswanathan).

Regarding claim 1, Kasiviswanathan discloses a method of providing direct access to a voice mail system (VMS) hosting a voice mail box associated with a service subscriber (see abstract), the method comprising steps of formulating a call set-up message for initiating the establishment of a call connection to the VMS, the call set-up message having a format reserved for a redirected call set-up message issued by a

Application/Control Number: 09/995,971

Art Unit: 2645

service switching point (SSP) in response to an uncompleted call to the service subscriber; and issuing the call setup message into a common channel signaling (CCS) network to initiate the establishment of the call connection directly to the voice mail box of the service subscriber (column 5, line 5 to column 6, line 10; also see column 1 and Figures 4-5).

Regarding claim 2, see column 5, line 5 to column 6, line 10. Also see column 1 and Figures 4-5.

Regarding claim 3, see column 5, line 5 to column 6, line 10. Also see column 1 and Figures 4-5.

Regarding claim 5, see column 5, line 5 to column 6, line 10. Also see column 1 and Figures 4-5.

Regarding claim 6, Kasiviswanathan discloses a method of providing direct access (see abstract) to a voice mail box of a service subscriber to a voice mail system (VMS), the method comprising the steps of receiving at a call control application, a message sent in response to a request for direct access to the voice mail box by a requesting party; formulating a call setup message for initiating establishment of a call connection between the requesting party and the VMS, the call setup message having a format reserved for a redirected call setup message issued by a service switching point (SSP) in response to an

Application/Control Number: 09/995,971 Page 4

Art Unit: 2645

uncompleted call to the service subscriber and sending the call set-up message into the CCS network to initiate the establishment of the call connection (column 5, line 5 to column 6, line 10; also see column 1 and Figures 4-5).

Regarding claim 7, see column 5, line 5 to column 6, line 10. Also see column 1 and Figures 4-5.

Regarding claim 8, see column 5, line 5 to column 6, line 10. Also see column 1 and Figures 4-5.

Regarding claim 9, see column 5, line 5 to column 6, line 10. Also see column 1 and Figures 4-5.

Regarding claim 10, see column 5, line 5 to column 6, line 10. Also see column 1 and Figures 4-5.

Regarding claim 11, see column 5, line 5 to column 6, line 10. Also see column 1 and Figures 4-5.

Regarding claim 14, see column 5, line 5 to column 6, line 10. Also see column 1 and Figures 4-5.

Regarding claim 15, see column 5, line 5 to column 6, line 10. Also see column 1 and Figures 4-5.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,971 Page 5

Art Unit: 2645

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasiviswanathan in view of Weller et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,266,399 (hereinafter Weller).

Regarding claim 4, Kasiviswanathan discloses inserting a redirecting reason code into a redirection information parameter. Kasiviswanathan does not disclose the reason code being used by the VMS to select a voice mail prompt to play to the calling party. However Weller teaches this limitation (see abstract and Figure 2). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kasiviswanathan with the voice mail prompts taught by Weller. This modification allows calling parties to be provided with appropriate prompts as suggested by Weller.

·Application/Control Number: 09/995,971

Art Unit: 2645

5. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasiviswanathan in view of Hyde-Thomson, U.S. Patent No. 5,557,659 (hereinafter Hyde-Thomson).

Regarding claim 12, Kasiviswanathan discloses receiving a connection request message that conforms to a predefined format and includes directory numbers for the requesting party, service subscriber and VMS. Kasiviswanathan fails to teach the receiving step is performed over an Internet protocol (IP) connection from a server on the Internet adapted to receive click-to-voice mail notifications from at least one worldwide web page. "Official Notice" is taken that this limitation is both old and well known in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kasiviswanathan wherein the receiving step is performed over an Internet protocol (IP) connection from a server on the Internet adapted to receive click-to-voice mail notifications from at least one worldwide web page. This modification allows for voice mail to be sent over a graphical user interface as suggested by Hyde-Thomson (see column 17).

Regarding claim 13, from Kasiviswanathan, see column 5, line 5 to column 6, line 10. Also see column 1 and Figures 4-5 of Kasiviswanathan.

· Application/Control Number: 09/995,971

Art Unit: 2645

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier 6. communications from the examiner should be directed to Olisa Anwah whose telephone number is 703-305-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8.30 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 703-305-4895. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

0-11. Olisa Anwah Patent Examiner

March 25, 2004

FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600